

# WEAKLY P-SMALL NOT P-SMALL SUBSETS IN GROUPS

T.BANAKH AND N. LYASKOVSKA

ABSTRACT. Answering a question of D.Dikranjan and I.Protasov we prove that each infinite group  $G$  contains a weakly P-small subset  $A \subset G$  which is not P-small. This means that for every  $n$  there are  $n$  pairwise disjoint translation copies of  $A$  in  $G$  but there is no infinitely many such disjoint copies.

In this paper we answer a question of D.Dikranjan and I.Protasov concerning the relation between P-small and weakly P-small sets in groups. We recall that a subset  $A$  of a group  $G$  is called

- *P-small* (or else *small in the sense of Prodanov*) if there is an infinite set  $B \subset G$  such that  $xA \cap yA = \emptyset$  for all distinct elements  $x, y \in B$ ;
- *weakly P-small* if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a subset  $B_n \subset G$  of size  $|B_n| \geq n$  such that  $xA \cap yA = \emptyset$  for all distinct elements  $x, y \in B_n$ .

It is clear that each P-small set is weakly P-small. The converse is not true: each infinite Abelian group  $G$  contains a weakly P-small subset  $A$  that is not P-small, see [1]. In this paper we prove a non-commutative version of this result.

**Main Theorem.** *Each infinite group  $G$  contains a weakly P-small subset  $A \subset G$  that is not P-small.*

The proof of this theorem relies on the existence of a subset  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}^{-1}$  of  $G$  with the following properties:

- (1) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a subset  $B_n \subset G$  of size  $|B_n| \geq n$  such that  $B_n^{-1}B_n \subset \mathbb{B}$ ;
- (2)  $c_1\mathbb{B} \cap c_2\mathbb{B} \cap c_3\mathbb{B}$  is finite for any pairwise distinct points  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in G$ ;
- (3)  $F\mathbb{B}F \neq G$  for any subset  $F \subset G$  of size  $|F| < |G|$ .

Here by  $|A|$  we denote the cardinality of a set  $A$ .

Assuming for a moment that such a set  $\mathbb{B}$  exists we shall construct a weakly P-small subset  $A \subset G$  which is not P-small.

---

*Key words and phrases.* small, P-small, weakly P-small.

Let  $\mathbb{B}^\circ = \mathbb{B} \setminus \{e\}$ , where  $e$  stands for the neutral element of  $G$ . We shall construct a subset  $A$  so that  $A \cap \mathbb{B}^\circ A = \emptyset$  and  $G \setminus \mathbb{B}^\circ \subset AA^{-1}$ .

Let  $\kappa = |G \setminus \mathbb{B}^\circ|$  and  $G \setminus \mathbb{B}^\circ = \{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  be an enumeration of  $G \setminus \mathbb{B}^\circ$  by ordinals  $\alpha < \kappa$ .

The set  $A$  will be of the form  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \{a_\alpha, g_\alpha a_\alpha\}$  for a suitable sequence  $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  in  $G$ . This clearly yields  $G \setminus \mathbb{B}^\circ \subset AA^{-1}$ . So it remains to define the sequence  $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  so that  $A \cap \mathbb{B}^\circ A = \emptyset$ . This will be done by induction.

We start with  $a_0 = e$ .

Assuming that for some  $\alpha$  the points  $a_\beta, \beta < \alpha$ , have been constructed, consider the set  $F_\alpha = \{a_\beta, g_\beta a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cup \{e, g_\alpha^{-1}\}$  containing  $< \kappa$  elements. By the property (3) of the set  $\mathbb{B}$  we have  $F_\alpha \mathbb{B} F_\alpha \neq G$ .

Therefore we can pick any point  $a_\alpha \in G$  with

$$a_\alpha \notin F_\alpha \mathbb{B} F_\alpha.$$

This gives  $A \cap (\mathbb{B}^\circ A) = \emptyset$ .

It remains to show that  $A$  satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

It follows from  $A \cap \mathbb{B}^\circ A = \emptyset$  and  $B_n^{-1} B_n \subset \mathbb{B}$  that for any distinct points  $x, y \in B_n$  we get  $x^{-1} y A \cap A = \emptyset$ , which is equivalent to  $x A \cap y A = \emptyset$ . This clearly yields that  $A$  is weakly P-small.

Next we check that  $A$  is not P-small. According to the property (2) of the set  $\mathbb{B}$ , for any infinite subset  $B_\infty$  of  $G$  and distinct elements  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in B_\infty$  the set  $c_1 \mathbb{B} \cap c_2 \mathbb{B} \cap c_3 \mathbb{B}$  is finite and so there is  $b \in B_\infty$  with  $c_1^{-1} b \notin \mathbb{B}$  or  $c_2^{-1} b \notin \mathbb{B}$  or  $c_3^{-1} b \notin \mathbb{B}$ . In any case  $B_\infty$  contains two distinct points  $x, y$  with  $x^{-1} y \notin \mathbb{B}$ . Then  $x^{-1} y \in G \setminus \mathbb{B}^\circ \subset AA^{-1}$  and hence the intersections  $x A \cap y A$  is not empty, which yields that  $A$  is not P-small.

Thus it remains to prove that each group  $G$  contains a subset  $\mathbb{B}$  with properties (1)–(3). In fact, it suffices to consider the case of a countable group  $G$ . Otherwise we can take any countable infinite subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and find a subset  $\mathbb{B}$  of  $H$  with properties (1)–(3). It is clear that  $\mathbb{B}$  has the properties (1), (2) in the whole group  $G$ . Property (3) follows from the fact that the set  $\mathbb{B}$  is countable while  $G$  is not.

So we can assume that  $G$  is a countable group which can be injectively enumerated as  $G = \{g_i : i \in \omega\}$  with  $g_0 = e$  being the neutral element of  $G$ . For every  $n \in \omega$  consider the finite subset  $F_n = \{g_i, g_i^{-1} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $G$ .

The set  $\mathbb{B}$  will be constructed in the form

$$\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^{-1} B_n$$

where  $B_n = \{b_n^i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  are to be chosen later. Obviously  $\mathbb{B}$  has property (1).

To simplify notation we write  $\mathbb{B}_{\leq k}$  instead of  $\bigcup_{n=1}^k B_n^{-1}B_n$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{>k}$  instead of  $\bigcup_{n=k+1}^{\infty} B_n^{-1}B_n$ . Also we put  $B_n^{<i} = \{b_n^j : 1 \leq j < i\}$ .

Now we are in a position to define a sequence of sets  $B_n = \{b_n^i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  such that the set  $\mathbb{B}$  will have properties (2) and (3).

To ensure property (3) we also will construct a sequence  $(h_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $G$ , such that  $h_n \notin F_n \mathbb{B} F_n$ .

We start with  $B_1 = \{e\}$  and pick any point  $h_1$  with  $h_1 \notin F_1^2$ . Next we proceed by induction. Suppose that for some  $n$  the sets  $B_k$  and the elements  $h_k$ ,  $k < n$ , have been constructed.

Then pick any point  $h_n$  with

$$h_n \notin F_n \mathbb{B}_{<n} F_n.$$

Such a point exists because the set  $F_n \mathbb{B}_{<n} F_n$  is finite and  $G$  is infinite.

Let

$$H_n = \{h_i, h_i^{-1} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Next we define inductively the elements of  $B_n = \{b_n^i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

We pick any  $b_n^1$  with  $b_n^1 \in G \setminus \mathbb{B}_{<n}$ . Next, for  $1 < i \leq n$  we choose  $b_n^i$ , to satisfy four conditions:

- (a)  $b_n^i \notin B_n^{<i} F_n \mathbb{B}_{<n} \cup B_n^{<i} \mathbb{B}_{<n} F_n$ ;
- (b)  $b_n^i \notin B_n^{<i} (B_n^{<i})^{-1} B_n^{<i} F_n \cup B_n^{<i} F_n (B_n^{<i})^{-1} B_n^{<i}$ ;
- (c)  $b_n^i \notin B_n^{<i} F_n H_n F_n$ ;
- (d)  $b_n^i \in \{x \in G : x^{-1} B_n^{<i} (B_n^{<i})^{-1} x \cap F_n = \emptyset\}$ .

The existence of such a point  $b_n^i$  will follow as soon as we check that the set  $\{x \in G : x^{-1} B_n^{<i} (B_n^{<i})^{-1} x \cap F_n = \emptyset\}$  is infinite. It follows from the property (a) the set  $B_n^{<i} (B_n^{<i})^{-1} \cap F_n$  is empty. Now the existence of a point  $b_n^i$  satisfying (a)–(d) follows from the following lemma that will be proved later with help of ultrafilters.

**Lemma 1.** *For any finite disjoint subsets  $F, S \subset G$  the set  $\{x \in G : x^{-1} S x \cap F = \emptyset\}$  is infinite.*

This allows us to complete the inductive construction of the sets  $B_n$  and define the set  $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^{-1} B_n$ . Now let us prove that the constructed set  $\mathbb{B}$  has properties (1)–(3). In fact, the property (1) is evident while (3) follows immediately from (c).

**Lemma 2.** *The set  $\mathbb{B}$  has property (2).*

*Proof.* Given pairwise distinct points  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in G$ , find  $k \in \omega$  such that  $c_i^{-1} c_j \in F_k$  for all distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Assuming that the intersection  $c_1 \mathbb{B} \cap c_2 \mathbb{B} \cap c_3 \mathbb{B}$  is infinite, we may find a point  $b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^3 c_i \mathbb{B}_{>k} \setminus \{c_i\}$ . A contradiction will be reached in three steps.

**Step 1.** First we show that there is  $n > k$  with  $b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^3 c_i B_n^{-1} B_n$ .

Otherwise,  $c_p^{-1} b \in B_n^{-1} B_n$  and  $c_q^{-1} b \in B_m^{-1} B_m$  for some  $m > n > k$  and some  $p \neq q$ . Write  $c_q^{-1} b$  as  $c_q^{-1} b = b_m^{-i} b_m^j$ . The inequality  $b \neq c_q$  yields  $i \neq j$ .

If  $i < j$ , then

$$b_m^j = b_m^i c_q^{-1} b \in b_m^i c_q^{-1} c_p B_n^{-1} B_n \subset B_m^{<j} F_k \mathbb{B}_{<m},$$

which contradicts (a).

If  $i > j$ , then

$$b_m^i = b_m^j b^{-1} c_q \in b_m^j (c_p B_n^{-1} B_n)^{-1} c_q = b_m^i B_n^{-1} B_n c_p^{-1} c_q \subset B_m^{<i} \mathbb{B}_{<m} F_k,$$

again contradiction to (a).

**Step 2.** We claim that if  $c_1^{-1} b = (b_n^i)^{-1} b_n^j$  and  $c_2^{-1} b = (b_n^s)^{-1} b_n^t$ , then  $\max\{i, j\} = \max\{s, t\}$ .

Conversely suppose  $\max\{i, j\} > \max\{s, t\}$ . If  $i > j$ , then  $c_1^{-1} c_2 = (b_n^i)^{-1} b_n^j (b_n^t)^{-1} b_n^s$  and hence

$$b_n^i = b_n^j (b_n^t)^{-1} b_n^s c_2^{-1} c_1 \in B_n^{<i} (B_n^{<j})^{-1} B_n^{<i} F_k,$$

which contradicts (b).

If  $i < j$ , then

$$b_n^j = b_n^i c_1^{-1} c_2 (b_n^s)^{-1} b_n^t \in B_n^{<j} F_k (B_n^{<s})^{-1} B_n^{<j},$$

again contradicting (b).

**Step 3.** According to the previous step, there exist  $n > k$  and  $l$  such that

$$c_1^{-1} b = (b_n^i)^{-1} b_n^j \text{ where } \max\{i, j\} \text{ is equal to } l;$$

$$c_2^{-1} b = (b_n^s)^{-1} b_n^t \text{ where } \max\{s, t\} \text{ is equal to } l;$$

$$c_3^{-1} b = (b_n^q)^{-1} b_n^r \text{ where } \max\{q, r\} \text{ is equal to } l.$$

In this case we obtain a dichotomy: either two among the three numbers  $i, s, q$  are equal to  $l$  or two among  $j, t, r$  are equal to  $l$ .

In the first case we lose no generality assuming that  $i = s = l$ ; in the second, that  $j = t = l$ .

In the first case, we get

$$F_k \ni c_1^{-1} c_2 = (b_n^l)^{-1} b_n^j (b_n^t)^{-1} b_n^l \in (b_n^l)^{-1} B_n^{<l} (B_n^{<l})^{-1} b_n^l,$$

a contradiction with (d).

In the second case,  $F_k \ni c^{-1} c_2 = (b_n^i)^{-1} b_n^s$  with  $i \neq s$ . If  $i < s$ , then we get  $b_n^s \in b_n^i F_k \subset B_n^{<s} F_n$ , a contradiction with (a). If  $i > s$ , then  $b_n^i \in b_n^s F_k^{-1} \subset B_n^{<i} F_k$  again contradicts (a).  $\square$

It remains to prove Lemma 1. This will be done by the technique of ultrafilters. Recall that a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of non-empty subsets of a set  $X$  is called a *filter* if it is closed under intersections and taking supersets.

The simplest example of a filter is  $\mathcal{F}_x = \{F \subset X : x \in F\}$  where  $x$  is a point of  $X$ . Such a filter is called *principal*.

The family of filters on a fixed set  $X$  is partially ordered by the inclusion relation. A filter which is maximal with respect to this partial order is called *an ultrafilter*. Zorn Lemma implies that each filter can be enlarged to an ultrafilter. Any principal filter is ultrafilter. Non-principal ultrafilters are called *free*. The following useful result can be found in [2, §2] or in [5, §1].

**Lemma 3.** *If  $F = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n$  is a finite partition of an element  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  of an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$ , then one of the sets  $F_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

The set  $\beta X$  of all ultrafilters carries a compact Hausdorff topology generated by the base  $\bar{A} = \{\mathcal{F} \in \beta X : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  where  $A$  runs over subsets of  $X$ . Identifying each point  $x \in X$  with the principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}_x$  we embed  $X$  into  $\beta X$  as an open discrete subspace.

We shall use the fact that the group operation on  $G$  can be extended to a semigroup operation on  $\beta G$  as follows. For two ultrafilters  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}$  on  $G$  the product  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{U}$  is the ultrafilter generated by the sets  $\bigcup_{x \in F} U_x x$  where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  for all  $x \in F$ . It is well-known that the so-defined operation turns  $\beta G$  into a left-topological semigroup (which means that left translations on  $\beta G$  are continuous), see [5, §4] or [4]. Since the product of two free ultrafilters is a free ultrafilter,  $\beta G \setminus G$  is a closed subsemigroup of  $\beta G$ . It is well-known that each compact Hausdorff left-topological semigroup contains an idempotent (see [5, Th.4.1]). Therefore, there is a free ultrafilter  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $G$  with  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$ . This ultrafilter has the following nice property.

**Lemma 4.** *For any set  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  we get  $AA^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* Given a set  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  find a an element  $\bigcup_{x \in F} E_x x \subset A$  of the canonical base of  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}$ , where  $F \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $E_x \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $x \in F$ . Pick any point  $a \in F \cap A$  and observe that  $E_x a \subset A$ . Then  $\mathcal{E} \ni E_x \subset Aa^{-1} \subset AA^{-1}$  implies  $AA^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

Now we are in position to prove our lemma.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We have to show that the set  $\{x \in G : x^{-1}Sx \cap F = \emptyset\}$  is infinite if  $S \cap F = \emptyset$ . It suffices to check that this set belongs to the idempotent  $\mathcal{E}$  of the semigroup  $\beta G \setminus G$ . In its turn, this will follow as soon as we prove that the set  $\{x \in G : x^{-1}sx \notin F\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}$  for every  $s \in S$ . Assuming the converse, we would get  $\{x \in G : x^{-1}sx \in F\} \in \mathcal{E}$  and thus  $A = \{x \in G : x^{-1}sx = f\} \in \mathcal{E}$  for some  $f \in F$  by Lemma 3. By Lemma 4,  $AA^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$ . On the other hand, for any  $x, y \in A$ , we get  $x^{-1}sx = y^{-1}sy$ . Then  $yx^{-1}sxy^{-1} = s \neq f$  and hence  $AA^{-1} \cap A = \emptyset$ , a contradiction with  $A, AA^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their sincere thanks to Igor Protasov for valuable and stimulating discussions on the subject of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.Banakh, N.Lyaskovska, Weakly P-small not P-small subsets in Abelian group, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*. N.3 (2006) 29-34.
- [2] W.W.Comfort, S.Negrepointis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters* (Springer, Berlin, 1974).
- [3] D. Dikranjan, I. Protasov, Every infinite group can be generated by a P-small subset, *General Applied Topology*, (to appear); available at [http://unicyb.kiev.ua/Site-Eng/admin/download/P-small subset.pdf](http://unicyb.kiev.ua/Site-Eng/admin/download/P-small%20subset.pdf).
- [4] N.Hidman, D.Strauss, *Algebra in Stone-Čech compactification. Theory and Applications* (de Gruyter Exposition in Mathematics, **27**, Walter de Gruyter& Co., Berlin, 1998).
- [5] I. Protasov, *Combinatorics of Numbers* (Monograph Series, Vol.2, VNTL, Lviv, 1997).

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKA AKADEMIA, KIELCE, POLAND,  
AND, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
OF LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE  
*E-mail address:* tbanakh@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF  
LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE  
*E-mail address:* lyaskovska@yahoo.com