

THE DIRECT LIMIT OF METRIZABLE ANR'S IS AN ANR FOR STRATIFIABLE SPACES

TARAS BANAKH

ABSTRACT. It is proven that the direct limit $\varinjlim X_n$ of a sequence $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ metrizable A(N)R's is an A(N)R for stratifiable spaces.

The theory of absolute extensors is one of the principal tools in infinite-dimensional topology. Initially the infinite-dimensional topology studied manifolds modeled on nice metrizable spaces like the separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 or the Hilbert cube $Q = [0, 1]^\omega$. But later its methods were used for studying infinite-dimensional manifolds modeled on certain non-metrizable model spaces like \mathbb{R}^∞ or Q^∞ , see [BZa], [Sa].

Given a pointed topological space $(M, *)$ by M^∞ we denote the set

$$\{(x_i)_{i \in \omega} \in M^\omega : x_i = * \text{ for all but finitely many indices } i\}$$

endowed with the strongest topology inducing the original (product) topology on each n -power $M^n = \{(x_i)_{i \in \omega} : x_i = * \text{ for all } i \geq n\}$. It should be mentioned that for a homogeneous space M (like \mathbb{R} or Q) the topological type of the space M^∞ is independent of the choice of the fixed point $* \in M$.

The topology of the space M^∞ is an example of a so-called inductive topology. We shall say that a topological space X carries the inductive topology with respect to a cover \mathcal{C} of X if the topology of X is the strongest topology inducing the original topology on each space $C \in \mathcal{C}$. In other words, if a subset $U \subset X$ is open (closed) in X if and only if for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the intersection $U \cap C$ is open (closed) in C . For example, a space X is a k -space if it carries the inductive topology with respect to the cover of X by compacta. A topological space X will be called an \mathcal{M}_ω -space (resp. k_ω -space) if it carries the inductive topology with respect to some countable cover by closed metrizable (resp. compact) subspaces. It can be shown that each \mathcal{M}_ω -space carries the inductive topology with respect to a increasing cover $\{X_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ by closed metrizable subspaces of X . In this case we shall say that X is *the direct limit* of the sequence $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ and write $X = \varinjlim X_n$.

In particular, the spaces \mathbb{R}^∞ and Q^∞ are k_ω and \mathcal{M}_ω . It is well known that \mathbb{R}^∞ equipped with its natural linear structure is a locally convex linear topological space while Q^∞ is homeomorphic to a locally convex space (for example, to ℓ_2 carrying the bounded-weak topology). In fact, according to [Ba₁], [Ba₂] (see also [BZd])

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C55, 54E20, 54B99, 54H12.

Key words and phrases. direct limit, metrizable space, stratifiable space, absolute neighborhood retract, absolute neighborhood extensor.

each infinite-dimensional locally convex \mathcal{M}_ω -space is homeomorphic either to \mathbb{R}^∞ or to Q^∞ . In this situation we can apply the Dugundji Theorem [Du] and conclude that the spaces \mathbb{R}^∞ and Q^∞ are absolute extensors for metrizable spaces.

We recall that a topological space X is an *absolute (neighborhood) extensor* for a class \mathcal{C} of topological spaces (briefly X is an $A(N)R[\mathcal{C}]$) if each continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$ defined on a closed subspace B of a space $C \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a continuous extension on the whole C (on a neighborhood of B in C). A topological space X is called an *absolute (neighborhood) retract* for a class \mathcal{C} (briefly X is an $A(N)R[\mathcal{C}]$) if $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and X is a (neighborhood) retract in each space $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ containing X as a closed subspace. It is clear that a space $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is an $A(N)R[\mathcal{C}]$ provided X is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{C}]$. The converse is true for some classes \mathcal{C} , in particular for the class \mathcal{M} of metrizable spaces, see [Hu].

The Dugundji Theorem mentioned above asserts that each convex subset X of a locally convex linear topological space is an $AE(\mathcal{M})$. This theorem was generalized by C.Borges [Bo] who proved that such an X is an absolute extensor for the class \mathcal{S} of stratifiable spaces. The class \mathcal{S} of stratifiable spaces contains the class \mathcal{M} of all metrizable spaces and (unlike to \mathcal{M}) is closed with respect to many countable topological operations, see [Bo], [Ce], [Gru, §5]. In particular, a space is stratifiable if it carries the inductive topology with respect to a countable closed cover by stratifiable subspaces. This implies that each \mathcal{M}_ω -space is stratifiable. Hence the spaces \mathbb{R}^∞ and Q^∞ being homeomorphic to stratifiable locally convex spaces are absolute retracts in the class of stratifiable spaces.

In [Pe] E.Pentsak started studying infinite-dimensional manifolds modeled on the space l_2^∞ , the direct limit of Hilbert spaces. In spite of some similarity in definitions, there is an essential difference between the spaces \mathbb{R}^∞ and l_2^∞ : the natural linear operations on l_2^∞ fail to be continuous and thus l_2^∞ is not a linear topological space. Moreover, according to [Ba], l_2^∞ is homeomorphic to no topological group and no closed convex subset of a linear topological space. In this situation we cannot apply the Dugundji or Borges Theorems to conclude that l_2^∞ is an absolute extensor for stratifiable spaces.

In this paper we develop an alternative approach allowing us to prove that (in spite of absence of a linear structure) the space l_2^∞ is an absolute extensor for stratifiable spaces. The main result of this note is

Theorem. *An \mathcal{M}_ω -space is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{S}]$ if and only if it is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{M}]$.*

We shall say that a topological space X is a *direct limit of metrizable $A(N)R$'s* if X carries the inductive topology with respect to an increasing closed cover $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by metrizable $A(N)R[\mathcal{M}]$'s. According to [Ko], any such a space X is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{M}]$. This result together with Theorem yields

Corollary. *The direct limit of metrizable $A(N)R$'s is an $A(N)R$ for stratifiable spaces.*

MAIN LEMMA

Recall that an *equiconnected map* on a topological space X is a continuous function $\lambda : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\lambda(x, y, 0) = x$, $\lambda(x, y, 1) = y$, and $\lambda(x, x, t) = x$ for every $x, y \in X$, $t \in [0, 1]$. A subset U of a space X equipped with

an equiconnected function λ is called λ -convex, provided $\lambda(U \times U \times [0, 1]) \subset U$. An *equiconnected space* is a pair (X, λ) consisting of a topological space X and an equiconnected map λ on X . A topological space X is called *topologically convex* if it admits an equiconnected map λ such that the family of open λ -convex sets forms a base of the topology of X .

Extending the Borges Theorem [Bo] (asserting that each convex subset of a locally convex space is an AE[S]) R.Cauty proved that each topologically convex space is an AE[S]. This result of R.Cauty will be our main instrument in the proof of the AE-property of direct limits.

For a topological space X by $\exp(X)$ the set of all closed subsets of X is denoted. A map $\mathcal{F} : Z \rightarrow \exp(X)$ of a topological space Z is called *upper semi-continuous* if for every open set $U \subset X$ the set $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U) = \{z \in Z \mid \mathcal{F}(z) \subset U\}$ is open in Z . We will use the following well known fact: if X is a normal topological space and $\mathcal{F} : Z \rightarrow \exp(X)$ an upper semicontinuous map then for every closed subset $F \subset X$ the map $F \cap \mathcal{F} : Z \rightarrow \exp(F) \subset \exp(X)$ is upper semi-continuous, see [En].

For a map $\mathcal{F} : Z \rightarrow \exp(X)$ and a subset $A \subset Z$ let $\mathcal{F}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{F}(a)$. A map $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow \exp(X)$ is called *monotone* if $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x)) \subset \mathcal{F}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

Main Lemma. *Suppose X is a normal topological space, $\lambda : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ an equiconnected function, and $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow \exp(X)$ an upper semi-continuous map such that $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$ for every $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times [0, 1]$. Suppose $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence of subsets of X such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$. Then*

- (1) *the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is λ -convex;*
- (2) *the function $\lambda : \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$ is continuous;*
- (3) *if \mathcal{F} is monotone and X admits a base of open λ -convex subsets, then $\varinjlim X_n$ has a base of open λ -convex sets too.*

Proof. The first statement follows trivially from $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$.

The proof of the rest two statements are a bit more complex. At first let us make a remark.

Since for every $x \in \varinjlim X_n$ we have $\mathcal{F}(x) \subset X_m$ for some m , it is legal to write $\mathcal{F} : \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \exp(\varinjlim X_n)$. Let us show that this map is upper semicontinuous. Fix an open set $U \subset \varinjlim X_n$. Because $\varinjlim X_n$ has the direct limit topology, to show that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U)$ is open in $\varinjlim X_n$ it suffices to verify that the intersection $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U) \cap X_n$ is open in X_n for every n . So, fix n , and find m with $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(U) \cap X_n = \{x \in X_n \mid \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U \cap X_m\}$ is open in X_n because the set $U \cap X_m$ is open in X_m and the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{X_n} : X_n \rightarrow \exp(X_m)$ is upper semi-continuous.

To show that the map $\lambda : \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$ is continuous, fix a triple $(x_0, y_0, t_0) \in \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1]$ and a neighborhood $U \subset \varinjlim X_n$ of $\lambda(x_0, y_0, t_0)$. Find n such that $x_0, y_0 \in X_n$ and m such that $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$. Let $W \subset X$ be a neighborhood of $\lambda(x_0, y_0, t_0)$ such that $\overline{W} \cap X_m \subset U \cap X_m$ (here \overline{W} is the closure of W in X). Observe that the set $\overline{W} \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n)$ is closed in $\varinjlim X_n$. Then the map $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F} : \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \exp(\varinjlim X_n)$ is upper semi-continuous. Consequently, the set $V = \{x \in \varinjlim X_n \mid \overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U\}$ is an open neighborhood of x_0 and y_0 in $\varinjlim X_n$. Using the continuity of the map $\lambda : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, we

may find neighborhoods $W(x_0), W(y_0) \subset X$, $V(t_0) \subset [0, 1]$ of the points x_0, y_0, t_0 , respectively, such that $\lambda(W(x_0) \times W(y_0) \times V(t_0)) \subset W$. Let $V(x_0) = V \cap W(x_0)$, $V(y_0) = V \cap W(y_0)$. Evidently, $V(x_0), V(y_0)$ are open neighborhoods of x_0, y_0 in $\varinjlim X_n$. We claim that $\lambda(V(x_0) \times V(y_0) \times V(t_0)) \subset U$. Indeed, fix any $(x, y, t) \in V(x_0) \times V(y_0) \times V(t_0)$ and remark that because of $x, y \in V$ we have $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U$ and $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(y) \subset U$. On the other hand, because $x \in W(x_0), y \in W(y_0), t \in V(t_0)$, we have $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \overline{W}$. Then, using the fact that $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$ we get $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \overline{W} \cap (\mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)) = (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x)) \cup (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(y)) \subset U$. Therefore, the map $\lambda : \varinjlim X_n \times \varinjlim X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim X_n$ is continuous.

Now suppose \mathcal{F} is monotone and X has a basis of λ -convex open subsets. Fix any $x_0 \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ and a neighborhood $U \subset \varinjlim X_n$ of x_0 . Find n such that $x_0 \in X_n$ and m such that $\mathcal{F}(X_n) \subset X_m$. Let W be an open λ -convex neighborhood of x_0 in X such that $\overline{W} \cap X_m \subset U \cap X_m$. Because of the upper semi-continuity of the map $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F} : \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \exp(\varinjlim X_n)$ the set $V = \{x \in \varinjlim X_n \mid \overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U\}$ is open in $\varinjlim X_n$. We claim that the set $O = W \cap V$ is a λ -convex open neighborhood of x_0 in $\varinjlim X_n$ such that $O \subset U$. Since $x = \lambda(x, x, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x)$, we get $x \in \overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset U$ for every $x \in V \cap W$, and thus $O \subset U$. To prove that O is λ -convex, fix a triple $(x, y, t) \in O \times O \times [0, 1]$. Since the set $W \ni x, y$ is λ -convex, $\lambda(x, y, t) \in W$. To see that $\lambda(x, y, t) \in V$, observe that $\mathcal{F}(\lambda(x, y, t)) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(x)) \cup \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(y)) \subset \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$. Then $\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(\lambda(x, y, t)) \subset \overline{W} \cap (\mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)) = (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(x)) \cup (\overline{W} \cap \mathcal{F}(y)) \subset U$ and thus $\lambda(x, y, t) \in V$ (by the definition of V). Therefore $O = W \cap V$, being an intersection of two λ -convex sets, is λ -convex. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM

For an infinite set A by $l^2(A) = \{(x_a)_{a \in A} \in \mathbb{R}^A \mid \sum_{a \in A} x_a^2 < \infty\}$ we denote the standard Hilbert space of density A , endowed with the norm $\|(x_a)_{a \in A}\| = (\sum_{a \in A} x_a^2)^{1/2}$. For two vectors $x = (x_a)_{a \in A}$ and $y = (y_a)_{a \in A}$ of $l^2(A)$ we write $x \leq y$ if $x_a \leq y_a$ for every $a \in A$, and set $\min\{x, y\} = (\min\{x_a, y_a\})_{a \in A} \in l^2(A)$.

Let $l_+^2(A) = \{x \in l^2(A) \mid x \geq 0\}$ denote the positive cone of $l^2(A)$ and $S_+(A) = \{x \in l^2(A) \mid x \geq 0, \|x\| = 1\}$ its positive unit sphere.

For a subset $A' \subset A$ we identify $l_+^2(A')$ with the subspace $\{(x_a)_{a \in A} \in l_+^2(A) \mid x_a = 0 \text{ for } a \notin A'\}$ of $l_+^2(A)$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$ be an A(N)E[\mathcal{M}]-space. Write $X = \varinjlim X_n$, where $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence of closed metrizable subsets of X . Applying Hausdorff's Theorem on extending metrics [Ha], we may find a continuous metric $\rho \leq 1$ on X which generates the topology of each X_n . According to Proposition 7.1 of [BP, Ch.VI], there is an embedding $g : (X, \rho) \rightarrow S_+(A_0)$ of the metric space (X, ρ) into the positive unit sphere $S_+(A_0)$ of $l^2(A_0)$ for some set A_0 . Let $A = A_0 \cup \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n = A_0 \cup \{0, \dots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the embedding $f : (X, \rho) \rightarrow S_+(A)$ defined for $x \in X$ by $f(x) = (f(x)_a)_{a \in A}$, where

$$f(x)_a = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g(x)_a, & \text{if } a \in A_0; \\ 2^{-n}\rho(x, X_{n-1}), & \text{if } a = n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^{-n}\rho(x, X_{n-1}))^2\right)^{1/2}, & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$(1) \quad f(X) \cap l_+^2(A_n) = f(X_n) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $Y = \{y \in l_+^2(A) \mid \exists x \in X \text{ with } 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\}$. Remark that $Y \cap S_+(A) = f(X)$, thus $f(X)$ is a closed subset in Y . This and (1) imply

$$(2) \quad f(X_n) \text{ is a closed subset in } Y \cap l_+^2(A_n) \text{ for every } n.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Y_n = Y \cap l_+^2(A_n)$ and remark that $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ (because of (1)). Denote by τ the direct limit topology $\varinjlim Y_n$ on Y . We will apply Main Lemma and [Ca₁, 1.4] in order to show that the space (Y, τ) is an $AE[\mathcal{S}]$. For every $y \in Y$ let $\mathcal{F}(y) = \{x \in l_+^2(A) \mid 0 \leq x \leq y\}$. One can easily check that each set $\mathcal{F}(y)$ is a compactum lying in Y , and the map $\mathcal{F} : Y \rightarrow \exp(Y)$ is upper semi-continuous and monotone.

On Y let us consider the equiconnected function $\lambda : Y \times Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ defined for $(x, y, t) \in Y \times Y \times [0, 1]$ by the formula

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} (1 - 2t)x + 2t \min\{x, y\}, & \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ (2 - 2t) \min\{x, y\} + (2t - 1)y, & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Evidently, $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$ for every (x, y, t) . Observe also that $\mathcal{F}(Y_n) \subset Y_n$ for every n and that Y admits a base of open λ -convex sets. Thus it is legal to apply Main Lemma to conclude that the equiconnected function $\lambda : (Y, \tau) \times (Y, \tau) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is continuous and the space (Y, τ) admits a base of open λ -convex subsets. Finally, applying Theorem 1.4 of [Ca₁], we get (Y, τ) is an $AE[\mathcal{S}]$.

Now let us return to our initial space X . It follows from (1) and (2) that the map $f : X = \varinjlim X_n \rightarrow (Y, \tau) = \varinjlim Y_n$ is a closed embedding. Using the fact that X is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{M}]$, we may easily prove that X is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{M}_\omega]$. Since $(Y, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$, this yields $f(X)$ is a (neighborhood) retract of $(Y, \tau) \in AE[\mathcal{S}]$, and consequently, X is an $A(N)E[\mathcal{S}]$. \square

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

1. For stratifiable k_ω -spaces our Theorem was known since R.Cauty [Ca₁, 4.2].
2. In light of Theorem, one could ask: Is every stratifiable $ANE(\mathcal{M})$ -space an $ANE(\mathcal{S})$? The answer is “no”: any countable space with a unique non-isolated point and without non-trivial convergent sequences can serve as a suitable counterexample.
3. Suppose $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ is a sequence of countable sets with $A_n \neq A_{n+1}$ for every n . It follows from the proof of Lemma that the semilattice operation \min is continuous on the direct limit $\varinjlim l_+^2(A_n)$. Applying [DT] we conclude that each space $l_+^2(A_n)$ is homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space l_2 . Using this fact, one can easily show that the direct limit $\varinjlim l_+^2(A_n)$ is homeomorphic to the direct limit l_2^∞ , considered by Pentsak [Pe]. Therefore we conclude that the space l_2^∞ supports the structure of a topological semilattice (even Lawson semilattice). This fact is of interest because the topology of the space l_2^∞ is not compatible with many other algebraical structures. For example, l_2^∞ is homeomorphic to no closed convex set in a linear topological space and no topological group (more generally, no closed multiplicative subset (or multiplicative subset with unity) in a topological group), see [Ba].

Question 1. *Is the space l_2^∞ homeomorphic to a topological lattice? to a convex set in a linear topological space?*

In connection with the second part of this question let us make the following observation. Denote by $Q = [-1, 1]^\omega$ the Hilbert cube and by $s = (-1, 1)^\omega$ its pseudo-interior. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Q_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})Q = [-1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}]^\omega \subset Q$. Let λ denote the equiconnected function on $s \times Q$ generated by the convex structure of $s \times Q$, i.e. $\lambda(x, y, t) = (1 - t)x + ty$. Evidently, the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty s \times Q_n \subset s \times Q$ is convex. It can be easily shown that the direct limit $\varinjlim s \times Q_n$ is homeomorphic to l_2^∞ . We claim that the equiconnected function λ is continuous on $\varinjlim s \times Q_n$. To see this, apply Main Lemma with the map $\mathcal{F} : s \times Q \rightarrow \exp(s \times Q)$ defined by $\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}Q \times Q$. Therefore, l_2^∞ admits a kind of a convex structure, but that, of course, does not answer Question 1.

4. Corollary rises the following

Question 2. *Suppose a stratifiable space X is a direct limit $\varinjlim X_n$ of a sequence $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ of closed subsets of X such that each X_n is an $A(N)R[S]$. Is the space X equiconnected? an $A(N)R[S]$?*

5. Having in mind Question 2 and Main Lemma let us introduce a definition. For a topological space X let 2^X denote the set of all compact subsets of X . We call a space X *compactly equiconnected* if Y admits an equiconnected function $\lambda : X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ and an upper semi-continuous map $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow 2^X$ such that $\lambda(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{F}(x) \cup \mathcal{F}(y)$ for every $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times [0, 1]$. Analysing the proof of Theorem, we see that every metrizable space embeds as a closed subset into a compactly equiconnected metrizable AR.

Question 3. *Does every stratifiable space embeds as a closed subset into a compactly equiconnected stratifiable space? Equivalently, is every absolute retract for stratifiable spaces compactly equiconnected?*

6. In connection with Theorem and Questions 2 and 3, let us consider the following surprising example. According to [Ca₂] there is a strongly countable-dimensional metrizable compactum K such that the free convex set $P_\infty(K)$ over K is not an AE for the class of all metrizable compacta and thus $P_\infty(K)$ is not an $AE(\mathcal{S})$. Writing $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$, where $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ is a tower of finite-dimensional compacta, we see that the stratifiable convex (and thus equiconnected) space $P_\infty(K)$ which is not an $AE(\mathcal{S})$ can be written as $P_\infty(K) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty P_\infty(K_n)$, where each $P_\infty(K_n) \subset P_\infty(K_{n+1})$ is a closed convex $AE(\mathcal{S})$ -subspace of $P_\infty(K)$.

7. The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Robert Cauty for fruitful and stimulating discussions on the subject of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [Ba₁] T.Banakh, *On topological groups containing a Fréchet-Urysohn fan*, *Matem. Studii* **9** (1998), 149–154.
- [Ba₂] T.Banakh, *Topological classification of strong nuclear (LF)-spaces*, *Studia Math.* **138** (2000), 201–208.
- [BZd] T.Banakh, L.Zdomsky, *The topological structure of (homogeneous) spaces and groups with countable cs^* -character*, *Appl.Gen. Top.* (to appear).
- [BZa] L.Bazylevych, M.Zarichnyi, *Introduction to the theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, IZMN, Kyiv, 1996, 40p. (in Ukrainian)
- [BP] C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
- [Bo] C.J.R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [Ca₁] R. Cauty, *Convexité topologique et prolongement des fonctions continues*, *Compositio Math.* **27** (1973), 233–271.
- [Ca₂] R. Cauty, *Sur les rétractes absolus P_n -valués de dimension finie*, preprint.
- [Ce] J.G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), 105–126.
- [DT] T. Dobrowolski, H. Toruńczyk, *Separable complete ANR's admitting a group structure are Hilbert manifolds*, *Top. Appl.* **12** (1981), 229–235.
- [Du] J.Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, *Pacific J. Math.* **1** (1951), 353–367.
- [En] R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
- [Gru] G. Gruenhagen, *Generalized metric spaces*, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (K.Kunen and J.Vaughan, eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 423–501.
- [Ha] F. Hausdorff, *Erweiterung einer stetigen Abbildung*, *Fund. Math.* **30** (1938), 40–47.
- [Hu] Hu S.-T., *Theory of Retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [Ko] Y. Kodama, *Note on an absolute neighborhood extensor for metric spaces*, *J. Math. Soc. of Japan* **8** (1956), no. 3, 206–215.
- [Pe] E. Pentsak, *On manifolds modeled on direct limits of \mathcal{C} -universal ANR's*, *Matematychni Studii* (1995), no. 5, 107–116.
- [Sa] K.Sakai, *On \mathbb{R}^∞ -manifolds and Q^∞ -manifolds*, *Topology Appl.* **18** (1984), 69–79.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LVIV UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 290602,
UKRAINE AND INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, AKADEMIA ŚWIĘTOKRZYSKA, KIELCE, POLAND